

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,818	SHELP ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742

All Participants:

(1) Harry D. Wilkins, III.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Anthony Asquith.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2006

Time: 12 noon

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 26, 27

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed approval for the attached Examiner's amendments to the claims. Elements 16 and 18 in claim 1 were substantial duplicates of each other. Elements 13 and 15 in claim 26 were substantial duplicates of each other. Also discussed that use claims 13 and 14 and procedure claim 27 would also be examined since they depend from, and thus require, all of the features of the allowed apparatus claims..